YOUR BRAN HEAVENILL BEAN

Let's agree this could give you some of the expresences, plans of the thoughts preferences, plans of the transmitter.

There may be honds to how much of this we could do while having the pecupient still be you.

Which peoplem?	ELIZA-life Siente Pentes (1-1000) Kent expensors 100kmp TAble	Lerrent Chrest- German LLMs	Plegation of Abstract similarly but approved Me the state	What's pung on > Jaspoe's bean
Flexy & other [Non-electronic] wednowned standard	1	2	3	4
electionics bio	5	6	7	Considerated Nitrovally Quierra

Extra clamension; Souls

Which systems/creatures can have mental lives at least approximately like your own?

- A. Only when the hardware is biological, very close to our own, and wasn't genetically engineered to have the brain patterns it does.
- B. Like A, but tolerate more biological differences, for example in principle ostrich brains might also do so, if they happen to have similar enough brain patterns.
- C. Like A, but more relaxed about the system/creature having been engineered to be the way it is; this is still compatible with its having a mental life, so long as it's still biological.

Someone who's relaxed in both respect B and respect A would allow examples across all of box 8 above.

- D. Digital computers (electrical circuitry) might have mental lives too, but only if they fell together by accident, or perhaps "evolved" from earlier/simpler programs, not if we designed/programmed them to be the way they are.
- E. Like D, but more relaxed about the system/creature having been engineered to be the way it is.

Someone who's relaxed in both respect D and respect E would allow examples across the bottom half of box 4 above, too.

F. Any device (also mechanical gears, and so on), if it has the right complexity and structure to have similar enough patterns as in our brains, and so can be running the same programs our brains do, might have mental lives like ours.

Someone who's relaxed in respect F would allow examples across the whole of box 4, too. They reject the idea that hardware matters.

G. The particular program isn't important, if it can behave intelligently and flexibly enough (so can reliably pass a challenging version of the Turing Test), should be counted as having mental lives like ours, no matter what computations/algorithms allowed it to do that, and also no matter what its hardware is.

Someone who's relaxed in respect G would allow examples across all the boxes. They reject the idea that hardware or programming matters, only behavior does.

Which of these would shape Brader's next state(s)? OR if souls are involved, which would be suitable hosts for a soul that shaped Brader's next state(s)? ostruch BRAders Another Jolphin bann grown Mechanian bio computer SWM Cyborg propriy 11 A HATO Clean-eened 6pm possembled Computer benn propern Plechan 10 Pann human benn From Indiv. of bead h WARIA M repreceds they one of From & prous brans 2 4 Replaced of 0 5 electric substitutes 6 wend SME of believel PAnel numbers have Waliny bunch Remembery/ B may formage to playeless rembers visiting Disneyland D feeling BAXIBUS Planning to Stray up late E TONIGHT